ED 374 722 HE 027 728

AUTHOR Stallings, William; Monaco, Malina

TITLE Cultural Literacy of a College of Education

Faculty.

PUB DATE [94]

NOTE 6p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) --

Tests/Evaluation Instruments (160)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS *College Faculty; *College Students; Cultural

Awareness; Cultural Background; *Cultural Literacy; Educational Attitudes; Higher Education; *Knowledge

Level; Minimum Competencies; *Recognition

(Psychology); Schools of Education

IDENTIFIERS *Cultural Literacy Test; *Hirsch (E D)

ABSTRACT

This study sought to analyze the cultural literacy of a college of education faculty and compare it to the cultural literacy of undergraduate and graduate students at the same institution. A 100-item instrument, based upon a New York Times book review of "Cultural Literacy: What Every American Needs to Know" (E. D. Hirsch, Jr., and others, 1987), was developed and administered to 104 faculty, 54 undergraduates, and 82 graduate students. Respondents were asked to indicate if they had a strong association with each item. The study found that the faculty reported that an average of 72 percent of the items elicited a resonance, while student responses ranged from 57 to 62 percent. The most difficult items for the faculty were eminence grise, annus mirabilis, xylem, Danton, jeremiad, and noble gas. Since Hirsch believed that high school graduates should be familiar with nearly all of the terms on the list, the study raises questions about the cultural literacy of college faculty or the expectations of Hirsch. (MDM)



15027 728

Cultural Literacy of a College of Education Faculty William Stallings and Malina Monaco (Georgia State University) William M. Stallings 2328 Burnt Creek Road Decatur, GA 30033

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- CENTER (ERIC)

 This document has been reproduced as recurred from the person or organization sychological.
- Minor changes have then made to improve reproduction quantity
- Points of year or opinions stated in this document du not necessar ly represent official OFRI position or Policy

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

William Stallings

Malina Monaco

10 THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER IERIC) "



Cultural Literacy of a College of Education Faculty William Stallings and Malina Monaco (Georgia State University) William M. Stallings 2328 Burnt Creek Road Decatur, GA 30033

Objective. This study was planned to analyze the cultural literacy of a college of education faculty and to compare it to the cultural literacy of undergraduate and graduate students attending the same institution.

Perspectives. The construct of cultural literacy was coined and popularized by E. D. Hirsch, Jr. and his colleagues in a book entitled <u>Cultural Literacy</u>: What Every American Needs to know (Hirsch, 1987). From the book's dust jacket we learn that cultural literacy refers to "the common knowledge that enables students to make sense of what they read."

Hirsch cited a number of educational researchers (John B. Carroll, Jeanne S. Chall, H. J. Walberg, L. A. Cremin, R. C. Anderson, and R. L. Thorndike) to support a rationale for cultural literacy. The notoriety received by the book was engendered by the infamous "List" publisher as an appendix. This list is a nearly 5000 item sample from a proposed national vocabulary and includes words, dates, quotations, titles and names.

Apparently, the reader does not necessarily need precise identifications and definitions. All that matters is that the item "ring a bell." If the reader can begin with rough or "ball park associations," then he or she can layer on successively more complex and precise meanings.

Despite (or because of) the criticism leveled against Hirsch, a recent search of ERIC or CD-ROM turned up nearly 300 citations. Book stores carry copies of his <u>Cultural Literacy</u> dictionaries.

There has been a long-standing, if modest, research interest in the characteristics of the education professoriat (Borrowman, 1965; Ducharme & Agne, 1982; Ladd, 1979; Mager & Myers, 1983 and Prichard, Fen, and Buxton, 1971). This literature has dealt with prior work experience, types of academic credentials, and social class. To my knowledge there have been no investigations of the cultural literacy of the education professoriat. It is plausible that the relatively low status of schools, colleges, and departments of education is in part attributable to their perceived lack of general cultural knowledge.

Methods and Techniques. An instrument was devised using the 100 items chosen by the editors for a New York Times review of Cultural Literacy. These items included: Luddite, Gresham's Law, "I wandered lonely as a cloud," Danton, and shibboleth. Respondents were instructed to "mark 1 if you have a strong association, not necessarily an exact definition . . .; otherwise mark 2."

<u>Data Sources</u>. The research site was a large, urban, publically supported university, located in a major southeastern city. By permission of the dean, the faculty of the college of education was surveyed at the opening faculty meeting of the academic year. Student responses, graduate



and undergraduate, were gathered from intact classes. The sample sizes were: n = 104 for the faculty; n = 54 for the undergraduate class; and n = 20, n = 24, and n = 38 for the three graduate classes.

Results. The primary data analytic techniques were item analysis and correlation. Overall, the faculty reported that an average of 72% of the items elicited a resonance. Student percentages ranged from 57 to 62%.

Although the total scale means differed somewhat, the correlations between the faculty scores and the student scores were fairly stable (r's ranged from .75 to .87). This suggests that faculty and students tended to find similar items familiar or unfamiliar.

To provide a more detailed analysis the items were subdivided into subscales, most of which were suggested by Hirsch. The faculty outperformed the students, but overall not greatly. For example, on Work History since 1550 (7 items) the faculty average was 48%; the student average was 41%. On Physical Sciences and Math (8 items) the faculty average was 69% and the student average was 65.3%. And on World Literature, Philosophy, and Religion (6 items) the faculty average was 41% and the student average was 34%. The faculty did much better than the students on Biography (11 items) with 75% vs. 56%.

For the faculty the most difficult items were: eminence grise, annus mirabilis, xylem, Danton, jeremiad, and noble gas. Difficulties ranged from 18 - 22%. The easiest items were: gung-ho, Kitty Hawk, leading question, birthday suit, Susan B. Anthony, Immaculate Conception, and fire-side chat. These difficulties ranged from 95-100. In educational measurement, difficulty refers to the proportion or percentage correct.

As an aid to interpreting these data one should consider that Hirsch believes that a high school graduate should find nearly all of the items at least familiar. A review in the March 15, 1982 New York Times Book Review (from which this list was taken) quotes Hirsch as believing:

"A score of 100 is passing . . . and 95 could just be bad luck; 85-95 suggests you may be missing out on something: below 85, there is cause for concern. Below 75, either this quiz is a <u>Progrustean Bed</u> or else <u>Ignorance is Bliss</u>."

Educational Importance. This study has added to our limited knowledge of the education professoriat. Insofar as this sample is representative, the data suggest that the cultural literacy of professors of education is below the level Hirsch expects of high school graduates. One implication is a partial explanation of the relatively low status held by colleges, schools and departments of education. In brief, our counterparts in other disciplines think that we are not broadly read and hence we do not understand the breadth of allusions they use with colleagues.

With respect to the old adage about teaching by precept and example. We may be underemphasing the second. If we want culturally literate (in the broadest and most multicultural sense) high school graduates, perhaps we should model such literacy for our students.



References

- Borrowman, M. (1965). Teacher education in America. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Ducharme, E. R., & Ange, R. M. (1982). The education professoriat: A research based perspective. <u>Journal of Teacher Education</u>, 33(6), 30-36.
- Hirsch, E. D., Jr. (1987). <u>Cultural literacy</u>: <u>What every American needs to know</u>. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Ladd, E. (1979). The work experience of American college professors: Some data and an argument. <u>Current Issues in Higher Education</u>. Washington, DC: Association for Higher Education.
- Mager, G. M., & Myers, B. (1983). Developing a career in the academy: New professors in education. Society of professors of Education Monograph Series. Washington, DC: Society of Professors of Education.
- Prichard, K., Fen, S., & Buxton, T. (1971). Social class origins of college teachers of education. <u>Journal of Teacher Education</u>, 22, 219-228.



CULTURAL LITERACY SURVEY

These 100 items are samples from E. D. Hirsch's recent book, <u>Cultural Literacy</u>. On the Opscan Form mark 1 if you have a strong association, not necessarily an exact definition, for the term, date, quotations, name, etc.; otherwise mark 2.

1.	amortization	34.	fourth estate, the	67.	Olympian heights
2.	anaerobic	35.	Gibbon, Edward	68.	Owens, Jesse
3.	annus mirabilis	36.	Gordian knot, cut the	69.	parity price
4.	Anthony, Susan B.	37.	Gresham's law	. 70.	
5.	Appomattox	38.	gung-ho	71.	Pershing, Gen. John
6.	auxiliary verb	39.	hard-wired	,	(Black Jack)
7.	Babbit	40.	Hector	72.	pistil
8.	basal metabolism	41.	hypotenuse	73.	Pooh-Bah
9.	birthday suit	42		74.	
10.	Bradley, Omar	43.	Immaculate Conception	75.	Quisling
11.	Brown v. Board		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		44121119
	of Education	44	invisible hand	76.	reduction (chemistry)
12.	capacitor	45.	I wandered lonely	77.	salt on a bird's tail
	-		as a cloud	78.	Santa Fe Trail
13.	Castile	46.	jeremiad	79.	Sarajevo
14.	Circe	47.	· ·	80.	shibboleth
15.	Columbia River	48.	Kelvin, Lord	81.	shout fire in a
16.	Congress of Vienna	49.	kingdom was lost,		crowded theater
	•		For want of a nail the	82.	
17.	containment,				
	policy of	50.	Kitty Hawk	83.	St. Paul's Cathedral
18.	Council of Trent	51.	leading question	84	Sun King, the
19.	dacha	52.	Lima	85.	Talmud
20.	Danton	53.	Limbo	86.	telemetry
21.	devil can cite				y
	Scripture, The	54.	lodestar	87.	There is no joy
22.	Dienblenphu	55.	Luddite		in Mudville
23.	Donner Pass	56.	Manifest Destiny	88.	thirty pieces of
24.	Doric order		·		silver
	(of architecture)57.	Marshall,		
			Chief Justice John	89.	Thor
25.	Douglass, Frederick	58.	meiosis	90.	tilt at windmills
26.	Dreyfus affair	59.	monism	91	torque
27.	eminence grise	60.	Mont Blanc	92.	vassal
28.	ethyl alcohol	61.	motor development	93.	Vichy
29.	Farmer, Fanny	62.	negative income tax	94	Volstead Act
30.	Fermi, Enrico	63,	New Amsterdam	95.	Watt, James
31.	fireside chat	64.	noble gas	96,	white dwarf
32.	flying buttress	65.	Oak Ridge	97,	
33.	Fortuna	66.	_	•	calf
				98.	xylem
				99.	yellow peril
				100.	zero-sum

